

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 2222-03
Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HB 945
Subject: Authorizes counties or a city not within a county to elect between two different juror compensation schemes.
Type: Original
Date: May 9, 2001

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
None			
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
None			
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

In response to a similar proposal, officials from **Greene County** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their county.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would give certain counties the option of increasing their juror per diem to \$50.00 per day for jurors who serve three consecutive days or more.

If 64 counties paid the \$50/day for three days one time in a year, the cost would exceed \$100,000 ($44 \times 36 = \$1,584$) ($\$100,000 \div \$1,584 = 64$). However, because the \$50 per diem is optional, CTS has no way of estimating what the additional costs to the counties might actually be. There would be no additional costs to the state.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
---	---------------------	---------	---------

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
---	---------------------	---------	---------

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would authorize certain counties to elect between two different juror compensation schemes. The first plan is equivalent to the current jury payment system adopted July 1, 2001. The optional plan allows the counties to pay jurors who serve over three days \$50 per day to be paid from county funds.

DESCRIPTION (continued)

BLG:LR:OD (12/00)

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator
Greene County

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeanne Jarrett".

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA
Director

May 9, 2001